arXiv:1506.02086v1 [math.QA] 5 Jun 2015

The positive even subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and its finite-dimensional irreducible modules

Alison Gordon Lynch

Abstract

The equitable presentation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ was introduced in 2006 by Ito, Terwilliger, and Weng. This presentation involves some generators x, y, y^{-1}, z. It is known that $\{x^r y^s z^t : r, t \in \mathbb{N}, s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a basis for the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. In 2013, Bockting-Conrad and Terwilliger introduced a subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ spanned by the elements $\{x^r y^s z^t : r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}, r + s + t \text{ even}\}$. We give a presentation of $\mathcal{A}$ by generators and relations. We also classify up to isomorphism the finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-modules, under the assumption that q is not a root of unity.

Keywords. Quantum group, quantum algebra
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 17B37.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, let $\mathbb{K}$ denote a field and let q denote a nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{K}$ such that $q^2 \neq 1$. We recall the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We will use the equitable presentation for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, which was introduced in [9]. By [9, Theorem 2.1], the equitable presentation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ has generators $x, y^{\pm 1}, z$ and relations $yy^{-1} = 1, y^{-1}y = 1$,

$$\frac{qxy - q^{-1}yx}{q - q^{-1}} = 1, \qquad \frac{qyz - q^{-1}zy}{q - q^{-1}} = 1, \qquad \frac{qzx - q^{-1}xz}{q - q^{-1}} = 1.$$

The equitable presentation for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ has connections with Leonard pairs [1], Leonard triples [5], [6], tridiagonal pairs [2], bidiagonal pairs [4], the q-tetrahedron algebra [7], [8], the universal Askey-Wilson algebra [12], Poisson algebras [11], billiard arrays [13], and distance-regular graphs [14].

By [12, Lemma 10.7], the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ has a basis

$$x^r y^s z^t \qquad r, t \in \mathbb{N}, \ s \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We consider the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ spanned by the elements

$$x^r y^s z^t \qquad r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}, \ r + s + t \text{ even}.$$

This subalgebra was first discussed in [3]. We call this subalgebra the *positive even subalgebra* of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, and denote it by $\mathcal{A}$.

In this paper, we obtain two main results. In the first result, we give a presentation for $\mathcal{A}$ by generators and relations. In the second result, we classify up to isomorphism the finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-modules, under the assumption that q is not a root of unity.

We now describe our first result in detail. We consider the elements ν_x, ν_y, ν_z of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, defined by

$$\nu_x = q(1 - yz), \qquad \nu_y = q(1 - zx), \qquad \nu_z = q(1 - xy).$$

By [3, Proposition 5.4], the elements ν_x, ν_y, ν_z generate $\mathcal{A}$. We also consider the elements x^2, y^2, z^2 of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We show that x^2, y^2, z^2 generate $\mathcal{A}$ provided that $q^4 \neq 1$. We obtain some relations between ν_x, ν_y, ν_z and x^2, y^2, z^2. We also obtain some relations (3.30)–(3.42) satisfied by ν_x, ν_y, ν_z. We show that the unital associative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra with generators ν_x, ν_y, ν_z and relations (3.30)–(3.42) is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}$.

We now describe our second result in detail. Assume that q is not a root of unity. By [10, Theorem 2.6], there exists a family of finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules

$$L(d, \varepsilon), \qquad \varepsilon \in \{1, -1\},\ d \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For char $\mathbb{K} = 2$ we interpret the set $\{1, -1\}$ as $\{1\}$. By [10, Theorem 2.6], every finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module is isomorphic to exactly one of the modules $L(d, \varepsilon)$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. By restricting from $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ to $\mathcal{A}$, each of $L(d, 1)$ and $L(d, -1)$ becomes an $\mathcal{A}$-module. We show that the $\mathcal{A}$-modules $L(d, 1)$ and $L(d, -1)$ are isomorphic and we denote the resulting $\mathcal{A}$-module by $L(d)$. We show that the $\mathcal{A}$-module $L(d)$ is irreducible. We also show that each irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module with dimension $d + 1$ is isomorphic to $L(d)$. Thus, we show that, up to isomorphism, $L(d)$ is the unique irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module of dimension $d + 1$.

2 The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

In this section, we recall the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and its equitable presentation.

Definition 2.1. [9, Definition 2.2] Let $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ denote the unital associative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra with generators $x, y^{\pm 1}, z$ and the following relations:

$$yy^{-1} = y^{-1}y = 1, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\frac{qxy - q^{-1}yx}{q - q^{-1}} = 1, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\frac{qyz - q^{-1}zy}{q - q^{-1}} = 1, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{qzx - q^{-1}xz}{q - q^{-1}} = 1. \tag{2.4}$$

We call $x, y^{\pm 1}, z$ the *equitable generators* for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Lemma 2.2. *The following relations hold in* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$*:*

$$\begin{aligned} xy &= q^{-2}yx - q^{-2} + 1, & yx &= q^2xy - q^2 + 1, && (2.5)\\ yz &= q^{-2}zy - q^{-2} + 1, & zy &= q^2yz - q^2 + 1, && (2.6)\\ zx &= q^{-2}xz - q^{-2} + 1, & xz &= q^2zx - q^2 + 1. && (2.7) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The equations (2.5)–(2.7) are reformulations of (2.2)–(2.4). □

Recall the natural numbers $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and the integers $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\}$.

Lemma 2.3. [12, Lemma 10.7] *The following is a basis for the* $\mathbb{K}$*-vector space* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$*:*

$$x^r y^s z^t \qquad r, t \in \mathbb{N}, \ \ s \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.8}$$

3 The elements ν_x, ν_y, ν_z

In this section, we recall the elements ν_x, ν_y, ν_z of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and we give relations involving ν_x, ν_y, ν_z and x^2, y^2, z^2.

The relations (2.2)–(2.4) can be reformulated as follows:

$$q(1 - yz) = q^{-1}(1 - zy), \qquad q(1 - zx) = q^{-1}(1 - xz), \qquad q(1 - xy) = q^{-1}(1 - yx).$$

Definition 3.1. [12, Definition 3.1] Let ν_x, ν_y, ν_z denote the following elements of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_x &= q(1 - yz) = q^{-1}(1 - zy), && (3.1)\\ \nu_y &= q(1 - zx) = q^{-1}(1 - xz), && (3.2)\\ \nu_z &= q(1 - xy) = q^{-1}(1 - yx). && (3.3) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. [12, Lemma 3.3] *The following relations hold in* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$*:*

$$\begin{aligned} xy &= 1 - q^{-1}\nu_z, & yx &= 1 - q\nu_z, && (3.4)\\ yz &= 1 - q^{-1}\nu_x, & zy &= 1 - q\nu_x, && (3.5)\\ zx &= 1 - q^{-1}\nu_y, & xz &= 1 - q\nu_y. && (3.6) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. These equations are reformulations of (3.1)–(3.3). □

Lemma 3.3. [12, Lemma 3.5] *The following relations hold in* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$*:*

$$\begin{aligned} x\nu_y &= q^2\nu_y x, & x^2\nu_z &= q^{-2}\nu_z x,\\ y\nu_z &= q^2\nu_z y, & y^2\nu_x &= q^{-2}\nu_x y,\\ z\nu_x &= q^2\nu_x z, & z^2\nu_y &= q^{-2}\nu_y z. \end{aligned}$$

In the next few lemmas, we give some relations between ν_x, ν_y, ν_z and x^2, y^2, z^2.

Lemma 3.4. *The following relations hold in* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$*:*

$$x^2\nu_y = q^4\nu_y x^2, \qquad x^2\nu_z = q^{-4}\nu_z x^2, \tag{3.7}$$
$$y^2\nu_z = q^4\nu_z y^2, \qquad y^2\nu_x = q^{-4}\nu_x y^2, \tag{3.8}$$
$$z^2\nu_x = q^4\nu_x z^2, \qquad z^2\nu_y = q^{-4}\nu_y z^2. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 3.3. □

Lemma 3.5. *The following relations hold in* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$*:*

$$x^2y^2 = 1 - q^{-2}(q+q^{-1})\nu_z + q^{-4}\nu_z^2, \tag{3.10}$$
$$y^2z^2 = 1 - q^{-2}(q+q^{-1})\nu_x + q^{-4}\nu_x^2, \tag{3.11}$$
$$z^2x^2 = 1 - q^{-2}(q+q^{-1})\nu_y + q^{-4}\nu_y^2, \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$y^2x^2 = 1 - q^{2}(q+q^{-1})\nu_z + q^{4}\nu_z^2, \tag{3.13}$$
$$z^2y^2 = 1 - q^{2}(q+q^{-1})\nu_x + q^{4}\nu_x^2, \tag{3.14}$$
$$x^2z^2 = 1 - q^{2}(q+q^{-1})\nu_y + q^{4}\nu_y^2. \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. To verify (3.10), we compute $(xy)^2$ in two ways. Using Lemma 3.2,

$$(xy)^2 = (1 - q^{-1}\nu_z)^2 = 1 - 2q^{-1}\nu_z + q^{-2}\nu_z^2. \tag{3.16}$$

Using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned}(xy)^2 &= x(yx)y \\ &= x(q^2xy - q^2 + 1)y \\ &= q^2x^2y^2 + (1-q^2)xy \\ &= q^2x^2y^2 + (1-q^2)(1-q^{-1}\nu_z).\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

By equating the right-hand sides of (3.16) and (3.17), we obtain (3.10). The remaining relations are similarly obtained. □

Lemma 3.6. *The following relations hold in* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$*:*

$$x^2\nu_x = q^{-1}x^2 - q^{-1} + q^2\nu_y + q^{-2}\nu_z - q\nu_y\nu_z, \tag{3.18}$$
$$y^2\nu_y = q^{-1}y^2 - q^{-1} + q^2\nu_z + q^{-2}\nu_x - q\nu_z\nu_x, \tag{3.19}$$
$$z^2\nu_z = q^{-1}z^2 - q^{-1} + q^2\nu_x + q^{-2}\nu_y - q\nu_x\nu_y, \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$\nu_x x^2 = q^{-1}x^2 - q^{-1} + q^{-2}\nu_y + q^{2}\nu_z - q\nu_y\nu_z, \tag{3.21}$$
$$\nu_y y^2 = q^{-1}y^2 - q^{-1} + q^{-2}\nu_z + q^{2}\nu_x - q\nu_z\nu_x, \tag{3.22}$$
$$\nu_z z^2 = q^{-1}z^2 - q^{-1} + q^{-2}\nu_x + q^{2}\nu_y - q\nu_x\nu_y. \tag{3.23}$$

Proof. We verify (3.18). Using $\nu_x = q^{-1}(1 - zy)$, we find

$$x^2\nu_x = q^{-2}x^2 - q^{-1}x^2zy.$$

By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} x^2zy &= x(q^2zx - q^2 + 1)y = q^2(xz)(xy) + (1 - q^2)xy \\ &= q^2(1 - q\nu_y)(1 - q^{-1}\nu_z) + (1 - q^2)(1 - q^{-1}\nu_z) \\ &= 1 - q^3\nu_y - q^{-1}\nu_z + q^2\nu_y\nu_z. \end{aligned}$$

The equation (3.18) follows from these comments. The remaining relations are similarly obtained. ☐

Lemma 3.7. [12, Lemma 3.10] *The following relations hold in* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$*:*

$$x^2 = 1 - \frac{q\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-1}\nu_z\nu_y}{q - q^{-1}}, \tag{3.24}$$

$$y^2 = 1 - \frac{q\nu_z\nu_x - q^{-1}\nu_x\nu_z}{q - q^{-1}}, \tag{3.25}$$

$$z^2 = 1 - \frac{q\nu_x\nu_y - q^{-1}\nu_y\nu_x}{q - q^{-1}}. \tag{3.26}$$

Lemma 3.8. *Assume that* $q^4 \neq 1$*. Then the following relations hold in* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$*:*

$$(q + q^{-1})\nu_x = q^2 + q^{-2} - \frac{q^4y^2z^2 - q^{-4}z^2y^2}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \tag{3.27}$$

$$(q + q^{-1})\nu_y = q^2 + q^{-2} - \frac{q^4z^2x^2 - q^{-4}x^2z^2}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \tag{3.28}$$

$$(q + q^{-1})\nu_z = q^2 + q^{-2} - \frac{q^4x^2y^2 - q^{-4}y^2x^2}{q^2 - q^{-2}}. \tag{3.29}$$

Proof. For each equation, evaluate the right-hand side using Lemma 3.5. ☐

We now display some relations satisfied by ν_x, ν_y, ν_z in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Lemma 3.9. *The following relations hold in* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$*:*

$$q^3\nu_x^2\nu_y - (q + q^{-1})\nu_x\nu_y\nu_x + q^{-3}\nu_y\nu_x^2 = (q^2 - q^{-2})(q - q^{-1})\nu_x, \tag{3.30}$$

$$q^3\nu_y^2\nu_z - (q + q^{-1})\nu_y\nu_z\nu_y + q^{-3}\nu_z\nu_y^2 = (q^2 - q^{-2})(q - q^{-1})\nu_y, \tag{3.31}$$

$$q^3\nu_z^2\nu_x - (q + q^{-1})\nu_z\nu_x\nu_z + q^{-3}\nu_x\nu_z^2 = (q^2 - q^{-2})(q - q^{-1})\nu_z, \tag{3.32}$$

and

$$q^{-3}\nu_y^2\nu_x - (q + q^{-1})\nu_y\nu_x\nu_y + q^3\nu_x\nu_y^2 = (q^2 - q^{-2})(q - q^{-1})\nu_y, \tag{3.33}$$

$$q^{-3}\nu_z^2\nu_y - (q + q^{-1})\nu_z\nu_y\nu_z + q^3\nu_y\nu_z^2 = (q^2 - q^{-2})(q - q^{-1})\nu_z, \tag{3.34}$$

$$q^{-3}\nu_x^2\nu_z - (q + q^{-1})\nu_x\nu_z\nu_x + q^3\nu_z\nu_x^2 = (q^2 - q^{-2})(q - q^{-1})\nu_x. \tag{3.35}$$

Proof. Observe that (3.30) is equivalent to

$$q^2\nu_x\left(\frac{q\nu_x\nu_y - q^{-1}\nu_y\nu_x}{q-q^{-1}}\right) - q^{-2}\left(\frac{q\nu_x\nu_y - q^{-1}\nu_y\nu_x}{q-q^{-1}}\right)\nu_x = (q^2-q^{-2})\nu_x. \tag{3.36}$$

To verify (3.36), simplify the left-hand side using Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.4. The remaining relations are similarly obtained. ☐

Lemma 3.10. *The following relations hold in* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$*:*

$$\nu_x\frac{q\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-1}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}} = \nu_x - q^{-2}\nu_y - q^2\nu_z + \frac{q^2\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-2}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}}, \tag{3.37}$$

$$\nu_y\frac{q\nu_z\nu_x - q^{-1}\nu_x\nu_z}{q-q^{-1}} = \nu_y - q^{-2}\nu_z - q^2\nu_x + \frac{q^2\nu_z\nu_x - q^{-2}\nu_x\nu_z}{q-q^{-1}}, \tag{3.38}$$

$$\nu_z\frac{q\nu_x\nu_y - q^{-1}\nu_y\nu_x}{q-q^{-1}} = \nu_z - q^{-2}\nu_x - q^2\nu_y + \frac{q^2\nu_x\nu_y - q^{-2}\nu_y\nu_x}{q-q^{-1}}, \tag{3.39}$$

and

$$\frac{q\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-1}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}}\nu_x = \nu_x - q^{2}\nu_y - q^{-2}\nu_z + \frac{q^2\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-2}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}}, \tag{3.40}$$

$$\frac{q\nu_z\nu_x - q^{-1}\nu_x\nu_z}{q-q^{-1}}\nu_y = \nu_y - q^{2}\nu_z - q^{-2}\nu_x + \frac{q^2\nu_z\nu_x - q^{-2}\nu_x\nu_z}{q-q^{-1}}, \tag{3.41}$$

$$\frac{q\nu_x\nu_y - q^{-1}\nu_y\nu_x}{q-q^{-1}}\nu_z = \nu_z - q^{2}\nu_x - q^{-2}\nu_y + \frac{q^2\nu_x\nu_y - q^{-2}\nu_y\nu_x}{q-q^{-1}}. \tag{3.42}$$

Proof. We verify (3.37). By Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_x\frac{q\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-1}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}} &= \nu_x - \nu_x x^2 \\
&= \nu_x - q^{-2}\nu_y - q^2\nu_z + q^{-1}(1-x^2) + q\nu_y\nu_z \\
&= \nu_x - q^{-2}\nu_y - q^2\nu_z + q^{-1}\frac{q\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-1}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}} + q\nu_y\nu_z \\
&= \nu_x - q^{-2}\nu_y - q^2\nu_z + \frac{q^2\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-2}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining relations are similarly obtained.

☐

4 A subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

In the previous section, we considered the elements ν_x, ν_y, ν_z of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. As we will see, ν_x, ν_y, ν_z do not generate all of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We will be concerned with the subalgebra that they do generate. In this section, we define a $\mathbb{K}$-subspace $\mathcal{A}$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We show that $\mathcal{A}$ is the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ generated by ν_x, ν_y, ν_z. We also give two bases for the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 4.1. [3, Definition 4.5] Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the $\mathbb{K}$-subspace of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ spanned by the elements

$$x^r y^s z^t \qquad r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}, \ r+s+t \text{ even.} \tag{4.1}$$

Lemma 4.2. [3, Lemma 4.6] *$\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.*

There are two generating sets of interest to us for the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. The first generating set was given in [3]. We include a proof for completeness.

Lemma 4.3. [3, Proposition 5.4] *The $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by ν_x, ν_y, ν_z.*

Proof. Let W denote the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ generated by ν_x, ν_y, ν_z. We show that $W = \mathcal{A}$. Certainly $W \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ since each of ν_x, ν_y, ν_z is contained in $\mathcal{A}$ by Definition 4.1 and Definition 3.1. We now show that $W \supseteq \mathcal{A}$. By Definition 4.1, $\mathcal{A}$ is spanned by the elements (4.1). Let $x^r y^s z^t$ denote an element of (4.1). Then $r+s+t = 2n$ is even. Write $x^r y^s z^t = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n$ such that g_i is among

$$x^2, \quad xy, \quad y^2, \quad yz, \quad z^2, \quad xz \tag{4.2}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. By Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.7, each term in (4.2) is contained in W. Therefore W contains g_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$, so W contains $x^r y^s z^t$. Consequently, $W \supseteq \mathcal{A}$, so $W = \mathcal{A}$. ☐

In the case where $q^4 \neq 1$, we have a second generating set of interest.

Corollary 4.4. *The $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by x^2, y^2, z^2 provided that $q^4 \neq 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, each of x^2, y^2, z^2 is contained in the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ generated by ν_x, ν_y, ν_z. By Lemma 3.8, each of ν_x, ν_y, ν_z is contained in the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ generated by x^2, y^2, z^2. Thus, ν_x, ν_y, ν_z and x^2, y^2, z^2 generate the same subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. By Lemma 4.3, this implies that x^2, y^2, z^2 generate $\mathcal{A}$. ☐

Lemma 4.5. *The elements* (4.1) *are a basis for the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Follows from Definition 4.1 and Lemma 2.3. ☐

It will be useful to have a basis for the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathcal{A}$ expressed in terms of the elements ν_x, ν_y, ν_z and x^2, y^2, z^2 of $\mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 4.6. *The following is a basis for the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathcal{A}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} x^{2r}\nu_z^{\delta_1} y^{2s} \nu_x^{\delta_2} z^{2t} & \qquad r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}, \ \delta_1, \delta_2 \in \{0, 1\}, \\ x^{2r} \nu_y z^{2t} & \qquad r, t \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let W_n denote the $\mathbb{K}$-subspace of $\mathcal{A}$ spanned by the elements $x^r y^s z^t$ of (4.1) that satisfy $r+s+t = n$. By Lemma 4.5, the sum $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n$ is direct.

Let w be an element of (4.3). First, assume $w = x^{2r}\nu_z^{\delta_1} y^{2s} \nu_x^{\delta_2} y^{2t}$ and let $N = 2(r+s+t+\delta_1+\delta_2)$. Using Definition 3.1, we find that $w \in \sum_{n=0}^{N} W_n$ and

$$w - q^{\delta_1+\delta_2} x^{2r+\delta_1} y^{2s+\delta_1+\delta_2} z^{2t+\delta_2} \in \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W_n.$$

Next, assume $w = x^{2r}\nu_y z^{2t}$ and let $N = 2(r+t+1)$. Using Definition 3.1, we find that $w \in \sum_{n=0}^{N} W_n$ and

$$w - q^{-1}x^{2r+1}z^{2t+1} \in \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W_n.$$

The set

$$\begin{array}{cl} x^{2r+\delta_1}y^{2s+\delta_1+\delta_2}z^{2t+\delta_2} & r,s,t \in \mathbb{N},\ \delta_1,\delta_2 \in \{0,1\} \\ x^{2r+1}z^{2t+1} & r,t \in \mathbb{N} \end{array}$$

is exactly the basis (4.1). The result follows. □

5 A presentation for $\mathcal{A}$

We saw in Lemma 4.3 that ν_x, ν_y, ν_z generate the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. In Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 3.10, we gave twelve relations (3.30)–(3.42) satisfied by ν_x, ν_y, ν_z. In this section, we show that the generators ν_x, ν_y, ν_z and relations (3.30)–(3.42) give a presentation for the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{A}'$ denote the unital associative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra with generators ν_x, ν_y, ν_z and relations (3.30)–(3.42).

We will show that the $\mathbb{K}$-algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are isomorphic. We define elements x^2, y^2, z^2 of $\mathcal{A}'$ in the following way.

Definition 5.2. Define elements x^2, y^2, z^2 of $\mathcal{A}'$ by

$$x^2 = 1 - \frac{q\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-1}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}},$$
$$y^2 = 1 - \frac{q\nu_z\nu_x - q^{-1}\nu_x\nu_z}{q-q^{-1}},$$
$$z^2 = 1 - \frac{q\nu_x\nu_y - q^{-1}\nu_y\nu_x}{q-q^{-1}}.$$

Note 5.3. Referring to Definition 5.2, we emphasize that we are viewing x^2, y^2, z^2 as symbols representing elements of $\mathcal{A}'$. We do not attach any meaning to the symbols x, y, z in the context of $\mathcal{A}'$.

In Lemmas 3.4 – 3.7, we gave relations satisfied by the elements $\nu_x, \nu_y, \nu_z, x^2, y^2, z^2$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We now show that these relations are satisfied by the corresponding elements of $\mathcal{A}'$.

Lemma 5.4. *The relations* (3.24)–(3.26) *hold in* $\mathcal{A}'$.

Proof. Immediate from Definition 5.2. □

Lemma 5.5. *The relations* (3.7)–(3.9) *hold in* $\mathcal{A}'$.

Proof. To show that the equation on the left in (3.7) holds in $\mathcal{A}'$, we show that $x^2\nu_y - q^4\nu_y x^2 = 0$. By Definition 5.2 and (3.31),

$$\begin{aligned}
x^2\nu_y - q^4\nu_y x^2 &= \left(1 - \frac{q\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-1}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}}\right)\nu_y - q^4\nu_y\left(1 - \frac{q\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-1}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}}\right) \\
&= (1-q^4)\nu_y + q^2\frac{q^3\nu_y^2\nu_z - (q+q^{-1})\nu_y\nu_z\nu_y + q^{-3}\nu_z\nu_y^2}{q-q^{-1}} \\
&= (1-q^4)\nu_y + q^2\frac{(q^2-q^{-2})(q-q^{-1})\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining relations are similarly obtained. □

Lemma 5.6. *The relations* (3.18)–(3.23) *hold in* $\mathcal{A}'$.

Proof. We show that (3.18) holds in $\mathcal{A}'$. By Definition 5.2 and (3.40),

$$\begin{aligned}
x^2\nu_x &= \left(1 - \frac{q\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-1}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}}\right)\nu_x \\
&= \nu_x - \left(\nu_x - q^2\nu_y - q^{-2}\nu_z + \frac{q^2\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-2}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}}\right) \\
&= q^2\nu_y + q^{-2}\nu_z - q^{-1}\frac{q\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-1}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}} - q\nu_y\nu_z \\
&= q^2\nu_y + q^{-2}\nu_z - q^{-1}(1-x^2) - q\nu_y\nu_z \\
&= q^{-1}x^2 - q^{-1} + q^2\nu_y + q^{-2}\nu_z - q\nu_y\nu_z.
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining relations are similarly obtained. □

Lemma 5.7. *The relations* (3.10)–(3.15) *hold in* $\mathcal{A}'$.

Proof. We show that (3.10) holds in $\mathcal{A}'$. By Definition 5.2,

$$x^2y^2 = x^2\left(1 - \frac{q\nu_z\nu_x - q^{-1}\nu_x\nu_z}{q-q^{-1}}\right) = x^2 - \frac{qx^2\nu_z\nu_x - q^{-1}x^2\nu_x\nu_z}{q-q^{-1}}. \tag{5.1}$$

By Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6, the relations (3.7) and (3.18) hold in $\mathcal{A}'$. By (3.7) and (3.18),

$$qx^2\nu_z\nu_x = q^{-4}\nu_z x^2 - q^{-4}\nu_z + q^{-1}\nu_z\nu_y + q^{-5}\nu_z^2 - q^{-2}\nu_z\nu_y\nu_z, \tag{5.2}$$
$$q^{-1}x^2\nu_x\nu_z = q^{-6}\nu_z x^2 - q^{-2}\nu_z + q\nu_y\nu_z + q^{-3}\nu_z^2 - \nu_y\nu_z^2. \tag{5.3}$$

By (5.2) and (5.3), it follows that

$$\frac{qx^2\nu_z\nu_x - q^{-1}x^2\nu_x\nu_z}{q-q^{-1}} = q^{-5}\nu_z x^2 + q^{-3}\nu_z - q^{-4}\nu_z^2 - \frac{q\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-1}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}} + \frac{\nu_y\nu_z^2 - q^{-2}\nu_z\nu_y\nu_z}{q-q^{-1}}. \tag{5.4}$$

By (3.34),

$$\frac{\nu_y\nu_z^2 - q^{-2}\nu_z\nu_y\nu_z}{q-q^{-1}} = q^{-5}\nu_z\frac{q\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-1}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}} + q^{-3}(q^2-q^{-2})\nu_z. \tag{5.5}$$

By Definition 5.2,

$$\frac{q\nu_y\nu_z - q^{-1}\nu_z\nu_y}{q-q^{-1}} = 1 - x^2. \tag{5.6}$$

Simplifying (5.4) using (5.5) and (5.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{qx^2\nu_z\nu_x - q^{-1}x^2\nu_x\nu_z}{q-q^{-1}} &= q^{-5}\nu_z x^2 + (q^{-1}+q^3-q^{-5})\nu_z + q^{-4}\nu_z^2 + (q^{-5}\nu_z)(1-x^2)\\ &= x^2 - (1 - q^{-2}(q+q^{-1})\nu_z + q^{-4}\nu_z^2). \qquad (5.7)\end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.1) and (5.7), we get

$$x^2y^2 = 1 - q^{-2}(q+q^{-1})\nu_z + q^{-4}\nu_z^2.$$

The remaining relations are similarly obtained. ☐

Consider the following elements of $\mathcal{A}'$:

$$\nu_x, \quad \nu_y, \quad \nu_z, \quad x^2, \quad y^2, \quad z^2. \tag{5.8}$$

Definition 5.8. For $n \geq 0$, define an $\mathcal{A}'$-word of length n to be a product $g_1g_2\cdots g_n$ in $\mathcal{A}'$ such that g_i is among (5.8) for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We interpret the $\mathcal{A}'$-word of length zero to be the multiplicative identity in $\mathcal{A}'$.

We now define two conditions on an $\mathcal{A}'$-word, called the forbidden and allowed conditions. We begin by defining these conditions on an $\mathcal{A}'$-word of length 2.

Definition 5.9. For any $\mathcal{A}'$-word g_1g_2 of length 2, consider the entry in the following table with row g_1 and column g_2. We say that g_1g_2 is *forbidden* whenever the entry has a ✗ and *allowed* whenever the entry has a ✓. Observe that an $\mathcal{A}'$-word of length 2 is allowed whenever it is not forbidden.

	ν_x	ν_y	ν_z	x^2	y^2	z^2
ν_x	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
ν_y	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
ν_z	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
x^2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
y^2	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
z^2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓

Definition 5.10. For $n \geq 0$, we say that an $\mathcal{A}'$-word $w = g_1g_2\cdots g_n$ is *forbidden* whenever there exists $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that the $\mathcal{A}'$-word g_ig_{i+1} is forbidden. We say that w is *allowed* whenever w is not forbidden.

Referring to Definition 5.10, the notion of *allowed* has the following interpretation. Define a map from the set of $\mathcal{A}'$-words to $\mathcal{A}$ that sends $w \mapsto \overline{w}$, where

$$\overline{x^2} = x^2, \quad \overline{y^2} = y^2, \quad \overline{z^2} = z^2,$$
$$\overline{\nu_x} = yz, \quad \overline{\nu_y} = xz, \quad \overline{\nu_z} = xy,$$

and for an $\mathcal{A}'$-word $w = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n$,

$$\overline{w} = \overline{g_1}\;\overline{g_2} \cdots \overline{g_n}.$$

An $\mathcal{A}'$-word w is allowed whenever $\overline{w} = x^r y^s z^t$ for some $r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}$. For example, $x^2\nu_y$ is allowed because $\overline{x^2\nu_y} = x^3 z$, but $\nu_x\nu_y$ is forbidden because $\overline{\nu_x\nu_y} = yzxz$.

Lemma 5.11. *An $\mathcal{A}'$-word is allowed if and only if it appears in* (4.3).

Proof. Immediate from the above comments. □

Lemma 5.12. *The $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $\mathcal{A}'$ is spanned by its allowed words.*

Proof. The $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}'$ is generated by ν_x, ν_y, ν_z, so every element of $\mathcal{A}'$ can be written as a linear combination of $\mathcal{A}'$-words. It suffices to show that every $\mathcal{A}'$-word can be expressed as a linear combination of allowed $\mathcal{A}'$-words.

We first show that every $\mathcal{A}'$-word of length 2 can be expressed as a linear combination of allowed $\mathcal{A}'$-words. By Lemmas 5.4–5.7, the relations (3.7)–(3.15) and (3.18)–(3.26) hold in $\mathcal{A}'$. Each forbidden $\mathcal{A}'$-word of length 2 can be expressed as a linear combination of allowed $\mathcal{A}'$-words by using the relations listed in the following table:

	ν_x	ν_y	ν_z	x^2	y^2	z^2
ν_x	(3.11)	(3.23)	(3.25)	(3.21), (3.18)	(3.8)	✓
ν_y	(3.26), (3.23)	(3.15)	(3.18)	(3.7)	(3.22)	✓
ν_z	✓	(3.24), (3.18)	(3.10)	(3.7)	✓	✓
x^2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
y^2	✓	(3.19)	(3.8)	(3.13), (3.10)	✓	✓
z^2	(3.9)	(3.9)	(3.20), (3.23)	(3.12), (3.15)	(3.14), (3.11)	✓

For example, solving for ν_x^2 in (3.11) gives an expression for ν_x^2 as a linear combination of allowed $\mathcal{A}'$-words. In cases where there are two relations listed, the expression resulting from the first relation contains a forbidden $\mathcal{A}'$-word of length 2 that can be eliminated using the second relation.

For each entry in the table above, we obtain an equation with a forbidden $\mathcal{A}'$-word of length 2 on one side and an equivalent linear combination of allowed $\mathcal{A}'$-words on the other side. We call these equations the *reduction rules* for $\mathcal{A}'$. Specifically, for a forbidden $\mathcal{A}'$-word g_1g_2, the reduction rule for g_1g_2 is a linear combination involving one allowed $\mathcal{A}'$-word of length 2, which we denote $\widetilde{g}_1\widetilde{g}_2$, and $\mathcal{A}'$-words of length 0 and 1. The 21 reduction rules can be found in Section 9.

Now let $w = g_1g_2\cdots g_n$ be an $\mathcal{A}'$-word of length $n > 2$. By a *forbidden pair* for w, we mean an ordered pair of integers (i, j) such that $1 \le i < j \le n$ and the word g_ig_j is forbidden. For $n \ge 0$, let W_n denote the set of $\mathcal{A}'$-words of length n and let $W = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n$ denote the set of all $\mathcal{A}'$-words. We now define a partial order $<$ on W. The definition has two aspects. First, for $n > m \ge 0$, every word in W_m is less than every word in W_n with respect to $<$. Second, for $n > 0$ and for $w, w' \in W_n$, $w < w'$ whenever w has fewer forbidden pairs than w'.

Let $w = g_1g_2\cdots g_n$ denote a forbidden $\mathcal{A}'$-word. Then there exists an integer $1 \le i \le n-1$ such that g_ig_{i+1} is forbidden. Using the reduction rule for g_ig_{i+1}, we can replace g_ig_{i+1} in w with a linear combination of allowed $\mathcal{A}'$-words. Let w' be a word appearing in the resulting linear combination. Then w' has length $n, n-1$, or $n-2$. First assume that w' has length $n-1$ or $n-2$. By definition of the partial order, $w' < w$.

Next, assume w' has length n. Then $w' = g_1\cdots g_{i-1}\widetilde{g}_i\widetilde{g}_{i+1}g_{i+2}\cdots g_n$. We show that w' has fewer forbidden pairs than w. For 12 of the 21 reduction rules, $\widetilde{g}_1\widetilde{g}_2 = g_2g_1$. In these cases, the forbidden pairs of w' are exactly the forbidden pairs of w other than $(i, i+1)$. Thus w' has one fewer forbidden pair than w, so $w' < w$.

In the remaining 9 cases, let $1 \le j \le n$ with $j \notin \{i, i+1\}$. It is routinely verified that if neither of $(j, i), (j, i+1)$ (resp. $(i, j), (i+1, j)$) is a forbidden pair in w, then neither is a forbidden pair in w'. Similarly, it is routinely verified that if exactly one of $(j, i), (j, i+1)$ (resp. $(i, j), (i+1, j)$) is a forbidden pair in w, then (j, i) (resp. $(i+1, j)$) is a forbidden pair in w' and $(j, i+1)$ (resp. (i, j)) is not a forbidden pair in w'. Thus, the number of forbidden pairs of the form $(j, i), (j, i+1), (i, j), (i+1, j)$ in w' is less than or equal to the number of such forbidden pairs in w. Observe that the forbidden pairs of w' not involving $i, i+1$ are exactly the forbidden pairs of w not involving $i, i+1$. Also, note that $(i, i+1)$ is a forbidden pair in w and not a forbidden pair in w'. Thus w' has strictly fewer forbidden pairs than w, so $w' < w$.

Therefore, each word in the linear combination obtained by applying the reduction rule is strictly less than w with respect to the partial order $<$. As a result, we can iteratively replace forbidden $\mathcal{A}'$-subwords of length 2 using the reduction rules and the process will terminate after a finite number of steps. The result of this process is an expression for w as a linear combination of allowed $\mathcal{A}'$-words. $\square$

Theorem 5.13. *The $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}'$ from Definition 5.1 and the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ from Definition 4.1 are isomorphic. An isomorphism is given by $\nu_\eta \mapsto \nu_\eta$ for $\eta \in \{x, y, z\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 3.10, the elements ν_x, ν_y, ν_z of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfy the defining relations (3.30)–(3.42) for $\mathcal{A}'$. Therefore there exists a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{A}' \to \mathcal{A}$ that sends $\nu_\eta \mapsto \nu_\eta$ for $\eta \in \{x, y, z\}$. To show that ϕ is an isomorphism, we show that ϕ maps a basis for $\mathcal{A}'$ to a basis for $\mathcal{A}$.

Let W denote the set of allowed $\mathcal{A}'$-words. By Lemma 5.11, ϕ sends the elements of W to the elements of the basis (4.3) for $\mathcal{A}$. Since the elements of (4.3) are linearly independent in $\mathcal{A}$, the elements of W are linearly independent in $\mathcal{A}'$. Together with Lemma 5.12, this shows that W is a basis for $\mathcal{A}'$. Consequently, ϕ sends a basis for $\mathcal{A}'$ to a basis for $\mathcal{A}$, so ϕ is an isomorphism. $\square$

6 Finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules

Our next main goal is to classify up to isomorphism the finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-modules. We begin by recalling the finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules. For the rest of the paper, we assume that q is not a root of unity.

Lemma 6.1. [9, Lemma 4.1, 4.2] *There exists a family of finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules*

$$L(d, \varepsilon), \qquad \varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}, \ d \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{6.1}$$

with the following property: $L(d,\varepsilon)$ has a basis $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^d$ such that for $0 \le i \le d$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\varepsilon x - q^{d-2i})u_i &= (q^{-d} - q^{d-2i+2})u_{i-1},\\ (\varepsilon y - q^{d-2i})u_i &= (q^{d} - q^{d-2i-2})u_{i+1},\\ \varepsilon z u_i &= q^{2i-d}u_i,\end{aligned}$$

where $u_{-1} = 0, u_{d+1} = 0$. For char $\mathbb{K} = 2$, *we interpret the set $\{1, -1\}$ as $\{1\}$. Every finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module is isomorphic to exactly one of the modules* (6.1).

We now consider the action of $\mathcal{A}$ on the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $L(d,\varepsilon)$. Since q is not a root of unity, we have two generating sets of interest for $\mathcal{A}$: the generating set ν_x, ν_y, ν_z from Lemma 4.3 and the generating set x^2, y^2, z^2 from Corollary 4.4. We focus on the actions of these $\mathcal{A}$-generators.

Lemma 6.2. *For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, the $\mathcal{A}$-generators ν_x, ν_y, ν_z act on $L(d,\varepsilon)$ in the following way. For $0 \le i \le d$,*

(i) $\nu_x u_i = q^{-1}(1 - q^{2(i+1)})u_{i+1}$,

(ii) $\nu_y u_i = q(1 - q^{2(i-d-1)})u_{i-1}$,

(iii) *$\nu_z u_i$ is a linear combination of u_{i-1}, u_i, u_{i+1} with the following coefficients:*

term	coefficient
u_{i-1}	$q^{2d-4i+3}(1 - q^{2(i-d-1)})$
u_i	$(q^{2d-2i+1} + q^{-2i-1} - q^{2d-4i+1} - q^{2d-4i-1})$
u_{i+1}	$q^{2d-4i-3}(1 - q^{2(i+1)})$

Proof. Follows from Definition 3.1 and Lemma 6.1. $\square$

Lemma 6.3. *For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, the $\mathcal{A}$-generators x^2, y^2, z^2 act on $L(d,\varepsilon)$ in the following way. For $0 \le i \le d$,*

(i) $x^2 u_i$ *is a linear combination of* u_{i-2}, u_{i-1}, u_i *with the following coefficients:*

term	coefficient
u_{i-2}	$(q^{-d} - q^{d-2i+2})(q^{-d} - q^{d-2i+4})$
u_{i-1}	$q^{d-2i+1}(q + q^{-1})(q^{-d} - d^{d-2i+2})$
u_i	q^{2d-4i}

(ii) $y^2 u_i$ *is a linear combination of* u_i, u_{i+1}, u_{i+2} *with the following coefficients:*

term	coefficient
u_i	q^{2d-4i}
u_{i+1}	$q^{d-2i-1}(q + q^{-1})(q^d - q^{d-2i-2})$
u_{i+2}	$(q^d - q^{d-2i-2})(q^d - q^{d-2i-4})$

(iii) $z^2 u_i = q^{4i-2d} u_i$.

Proof. Follows from Lemma 6.1. □

7 From $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules to $\mathcal{A}$-modules

Let V denote a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module. By restricting from $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ to $\mathcal{A}$, the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module V becomes an $\mathcal{A}$-module. We say that the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module V *extends* the $\mathcal{A}$-module V. Recall the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $L(d, \varepsilon)$ from Lemma 6.1. In this section, we discuss the $\mathcal{A}$-module $L(d, \varepsilon)$.

Lemma 7.1. *For* $d \in \mathbb{N}$*, there exists an* $\mathcal{A}$*-module isomorphism* $L(d, 1) \to L(d, -1)$ *that sends* $u_i \mapsto u_i$ *for* $0 \leq i \leq d$*.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.2, the actions of ν_x, ν_y, ν_z on $L(d, \varepsilon)$ are independent of ε. By Lemma 4.3, ν_x, ν_y, ν_z generate $\mathcal{A}$, so the action of $\mathcal{A}$ on $L(d, \varepsilon)$ is independent of ε. The result follows. □

Definition 7.2. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we identify $\mathcal{A}$-modules $L(d, 1)$, $L(d, -1)$ via the isomorphism in Lemma 7.1. We call the resulting $\mathcal{A}$-module $L(d)$.

Observe that $L(d)$ has a basis $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^d$ on which ν_x, ν_y, ν_z act as in Lemma 6.2 and x^2, y^2, z^2 act as in Lemma 6.3.

Lemma 7.3. *For* $d \in \mathbb{N}$*, the* $\mathcal{A}$*-module* $L(d)$ *is irreducible.*

Proof. Let W denote an nonzero $\mathcal{A}$-submodule of $L(d)$. We show that $W = L(d)$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let V_i denote the eigenspace of the z^2-action on $L(d)$ with eigenvalue q^{4i-2d}. By Lemma 6.3, $V = \sum_{i=0}^d V_i$ (direct sum) and $V_i = \text{span}\{u_i\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Let W_i denote the projection of W onto V_i. Since $W \neq 0$, there exists k such that $W_k \neq 0$. Then $u_k \in W_k$, so $u_k \in W$. Since W is an $\mathcal{A}$-submodule, $\nu_x^n u_k \in W$ and $\nu_y^n u_k \in W$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 6.2, it follows that $u_i \in W$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d$. Therefore $W = L(d)$. □

8 Finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-modules

In this section, we classify up to isomorphism the finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-modules.

Lemma 8.1. *Let V be a finite-dimensional $\mathcal{A}$-module. Then the actions of ν_x, ν_y, ν_z on V are nilpotent.*

Proof. We show that the ν_y-action on V is nilpotent. Suppose that the ν_y-action on V is not nilpotent. Then there exists $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ such that λ is an eigenvalue for ν_y. Since V is finite-dimensional, there exist $M, N \geq 0$ maximal such that $q^{-4M}\lambda$ and $q^{4N}\lambda$ are eigenvalues for ν_y.

Let $v \in V$ be a nonzero eigenvector for ν_y corresponding to eigenvalue $q^{4N}\lambda$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$\nu_y z^2 v = q^4 z^2 \nu_y v = q^{4(N+1)} \lambda z^2 v.$$

By the maximality of N, $q^{4(N+1)}\lambda$ is not an eigenvalue for ν_y, so $z^2 v = 0$. By Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = x^2 z^2 v &= (1 - q^2(q+q^{-1})\nu_y + q^4 \nu_y^2) v \\ &= (1 - q^{4N+2}(q+q^{-1})\lambda + q^{8N+4}\lambda^2) v \\ &= (1 - q^{4N+3}\lambda)(1 - q^{4N+1}\lambda) v. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\lambda \in \{q^{-(4N+3)}, q^{-(4N+1)}\}$.

Now let $w \in V$ be a nonzero eigenvector for ν_y corresponding to eigenvalue $q^{-4M}\lambda$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$\nu_y x^2 w = q^{-4} x^2 \nu_y w = q^{-4(M+1)} \lambda x^2 w.$$

By the maximality of M, $q^{-4(M+1)}\lambda$ is not an eigenvalue for ν_y, so $x^2 w = 0$. By Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = z^2 x^2 w &= (1 - q^{-2}(q+q^{-1})\nu_y + q^{-4} \nu_y^2) w \\ &= (1 - q^{-4M-2}(q+q^{-1})\lambda + q^{-8M-4}\lambda^2) w \\ &= (1 - q^{-4M-3}\lambda)(1 - q^{-4M-1}\lambda) w. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\lambda \in \{q^{4M+3}, q^{4M+1}\}$. However, since $-(4N+3), -(4N+1)$ are negative, $4M+3, 4M+1$ are positive, and q is not a root of unity, it cannot be the case that $\lambda \in \{q^{-(4N+3)}, q^{-(4N+1)}\}$ and $\lambda \in \{q^{4M+3}, q^{4M+1}\}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore the action of ν_y on V is nilpotent.

A similar argument shows that the actions of ν_x and ν_z on V are nilpotent. □

Lemma 8.2. *Let V denote a finite-dimensional $\mathcal{A}$-module. Then the kernel of the ν_y-action on V contains a common eigenvector for z^2 and $\nu_y \nu_x$.*

Proof. Let W denote the kernel of the ν_y-action on V. By Lemma 8.1, ν_y acts nilpotently on V, so $W \neq 0$. It suffices to show that W is fixed by both z^2 and $\nu_y \nu_x$ and that z^2 and $\nu_y \nu_x$ commute on W.

Let $w \in W$. By Lemma 3.4,

$$0 = z^2 \nu_y w = q^{-4} \nu_y z^2 w.$$

Therefore $\nu_y z^2 w = 0$, so $z^2 w \in W$.

By Lemma 3.9,

$$q^{-3}\nu_y^2\nu_x w - (q+q^{-1})\nu_y\nu_x\nu_y w + q^3\nu_x\nu_y^2 w = (q^2-q^{-2})(q-q^{-1})\nu_y w. \tag{8.1}$$

Since $\nu_y w = 0$, the equation (8.1) simplifies to $q^{-3}\nu_y^2\nu_x w = 0$. Then $\nu_y(\nu_y\nu_x w) = 0$, so $\nu_y\nu_x w \in W$. Therefore W is fixed by both z^2 and $\nu_y\nu_x$.

By Lemma 3.4,

$$z^2\nu_y\nu_x = q^{-4}\nu_y z^2\nu_x = \nu_y\nu_x z^2.$$

Therefore z^2 and $\nu_y\nu_x$ commute in $\mathcal{A}$, so they commute on W. The result follows. □

For the rest of this section, the following notation will be in effect.

Notation 8.3. Let V denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module. By Lemma 8.2, there exists $0 \neq v_0 \in V$ such that $\nu_y v_0 = 0$ and v_0 is a common eigenvector for z^2 and $\nu_y\nu_x$. Define $v_i = \nu_x^i v_0$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $v_{-1} = 0$. Since ν_x is nilpotent, only finitely many v_i are nonzero. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be maximal such that $v_d \neq 0$.

We will show that $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a basis for V. To do this, we consider the actions of certain elements of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$.

Lemma 8.4. *With reference to Notation 8.3, there exists* $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ *such that* $z^2 v_i = q^{4i}\lambda v_i$ *for* $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof. Since v_0 is an eigenvector for z^2, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $z^2 v_0 = \lambda v_0$. By Lemma 3.4, for all $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$z^2 v_i = z^2\nu_x^i v_0 = q^{4i}\nu_x^i z^2 v_0 = q^{4i}\lambda\nu_x^i v_0 = q^{4i}\lambda v_i.$$

Suppose that $\lambda = 0$. Then by Lemma 3.5,

$$0 = x^2 z^2 v_0 = \left(1 - q^2(q+q^{-1})\nu_y + q^4\nu_y^2\right) v_0 = v_0.$$

Since $v_0 \neq 0$, this is a contradiction. Therefore $\lambda \neq 0$. □

Lemma 8.5. *With reference to Notation 8.3,* $\nu_y v_i \in \operatorname{span}\{v_{i-1}\}$ *for* $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on i. Since $\nu_y v_0 = 0$, $\nu_y v_0 \in \operatorname{span}\{v_{-1}\}$. Since v_0 is an eigenvector of $\nu_y\nu_x$, $\nu_y v_1 = \nu_y\nu_x v_0 \in \operatorname{span}\{v_0\}$.

Now let $2 \leq m \leq d$ and assume that $\nu_y v_i \in \operatorname{span}\{v_{i-1}\}$ for $0 \leq i < m$. We show that $\nu_y v_m \in \operatorname{span}\{v_{m-1}\}$. Multiplying by ν_x^{m-2} on the right on both sides of (3.30), we have

$$\nu_y\nu_x^m = q^3(q^2-q^{-2})(q-q^{-1})\nu_x^{m-1} - q^6\nu_x^2\nu_y\nu_x^{m-2} + q^3(q+q^{-1})\nu_x\nu_y\nu_x^{m-1}. \tag{8.2}$$

Applying both sides of (8.2) to v_0, we have

$$\nu_y v_m = q^3(q^2-q^{-2})(q-q^{-1})v_{m-1} - q^6\nu_x^2\nu_y v_{m-2} + q^3(q+q^{-1})\nu_x\nu_y v_{m-1}. \tag{8.3}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\nu_y v_{m-2}$ is a scalar multiple of v_{m-3}, so $\nu_x^2\nu_y v_{m-2}$ is a scalar multiple of v_{m-1}. Similarly, $\nu_y v_{m-1}$ is a scalar multiple of v_{m-2}, so $\nu_x\nu_y v_{m-1}$ is a scalar multiple of v_{m-1}. Therefore, the right-hand side of (8.3) is a scalar multiple of v_{m-1}, so $\nu_y v_m \in \operatorname{span}\{v_{m-1}\}$. □

Lemma 8.6. *With reference to Notation 8.3, $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a basis for V.*

Proof. By Lemma 8.4, the elements of $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ are eigenvectors for z^2 corresponding to distinct eigenvalues, so they are linearly independent. Thus, it remains to show that $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ span V.

Let $V' = \operatorname{span}\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$. We show that $V' = V$. By Lemma 4.3, ν_x, ν_y, ν_z generate $\mathcal{A}$. Thus, it suffices to show that V' is fixed by ν_x, ν_y, ν_z.

By Notation 8.3, V' is fixed by ν_x. By Lemma 8.5, V' is fixed by ν_y. To show that V' is fixed by ν_z, we consider the z^2-action on V'. By Lemma 8.4, there exists $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $z^2 v_i = q^{4i}\lambda v_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Then by Lemma 3.6,

$$\nu_z v_i = q^{-4i}\lambda^{-1}\nu_z z^2 v_i = q^{-4i}\lambda^{-1}\left(q^{-1}z^2 - q^{-1} + q^{-2}\nu_x + q^2\nu_y - q\nu_x\nu_y\right)v_i. \tag{8.4}$$

The right-hand side of (8.4) is contained in V' since V' is fixed by ν_x, ν_y, and z^2. Therefore V' is fixed by ν_z. The result follows. $\square$

We now compute the actions of the elements $\nu_x, \nu_y, \nu_z, x^2, y^2, z^2$ of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$. It is convenient for us to start with ν_x, ν_y, z^2.

Theorem 8.7. *With reference to Notation 8.3, the elements ν_x, ν_y, z^2 of $\mathcal{A}$ act on the basis $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ for V in the following way. For $0 \leq i \leq d$,*

$$\nu_x v_i = v_{i+1}, \tag{8.5}$$

$$\nu_y v_i = (q^{2i} - 1)(q^{2(i-d-1)} - 1)v_{i-1}, \tag{8.6}$$

$$z^2 v_i = q^{4i-2d}v_i, \tag{8.7}$$

where $v_{-1} = v_{d+1} = 0$.

Proof. Observe that (8.5) holds by Notation 8.3. By Lemma 8.4, there exists $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $z^2 v_i = q^{4i}\lambda v_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. By Lemma 8.5, there exist $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\nu_y v_i = \alpha_i v_{i-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Since $v_{-1} = v_{d+1} = 0$, we set $\alpha_0 = \alpha_{d+1} = 0$. To verify (8.6) and (8.7), it suffices to show that $\lambda = q^{-2d}$ and $\alpha_i = (q^{2i} - 1)(q^{2(i-d-1)} - 1)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

By Lemma 3.7,

$$q^{4i}\lambda v_i = z^2 v_i = \left(1 - \frac{q\nu_x\nu_y - q^{-1}\nu_y\nu_x}{q - q^{-1}}\right)v_i = \left(1 - \frac{q\alpha_i - q^{-1}\alpha_{i+1}}{q - q^{-1}}\right)v_i.$$

This yields the following recurrence:

$$\alpha_{i+1} = q^2\alpha_i + (q^2 - 1)(q^{4i}\lambda - 1) \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{8.8}$$

It is easily verified that the solution to the recurrence (8.8) with initial condition $\alpha_0 = 0$ is

$$\alpha_i = 1 - q^{2i} + \lambda(q^{4i-2} - q^{2i-2}) \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{8.9}$$

Setting $i = d + 1$ in (8.9) and factoring, we get

$$\alpha_{d+1} = (1 - q^{2(d+1)})(1 - \lambda q^{2d}).$$

Since $\alpha_{d+1} = 0$, this gives $\lambda = q^{-2d}$. Plugging $\lambda = q^{-2d}$ into (8.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_i &= 1 - q^{2i} + q^{-2d}(q^{4i-2} - q^{2i-2}) \\ &= (q^{2i} - 1)(q^{2(i-d-1)} - 1).\end{aligned}$$

The result follows. $\square$

Lemma 8.8. *With reference to Notation 8.3, the elements x^2, y^2, ν_z of $\mathcal{A}$ act on the basis $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ in the following way. For $0 \leq i \leq d$,*

(i) *$x^2 v_i$ is a linear combination of v_{i-2}, v_{i-1}, v_i with the following coefficients:*

term	coefficient
v_{i-2}	$q^4(q^{2i} - 1)(q^{2(i-d-1)} - 1)(q^{2(i-1)} - 1)(q^{2(i-d-2)} - 1)$
v_{i-1}	$-q^2(q + q^{-1})(q^{2i} - 1)(q^{2(i-d-1)} - 1)$
v_i	q^{2d-4i}

(ii) *$y^2 v_i$ is a linear combination of v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2} with the following coefficients:*

term	coefficient
v_i	q^{2d-4i}
v_{i+1}	$-q^{2d-4i-2}(q + q^{-1})$
v_{i+2}	$q^{2d-4i-4}$

(iii) *$\nu_z v_i$ is a linear combination of v_{i-1}, v_i, v_{i+1} with the following coefficients:*

term	coefficient
v_{i-1}	$(q^{-2i} - 1)(q^{-2(i-d-1)} - 1)$
v_i	$q^{2d-2i+1} + q^{-2i-1} - q^{2d-4i+1} - q^{2d-4i-1}$
v_{i+1}	$q^{2d-4i-2}$

Proof. By Theorem 8.7, we have $z^2 v_i = q^{4i-2d} v_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Thus, $\phi v_i = q^{2d-4i} \phi z^2 v_i$ for each $\phi \in \{x^2, y^2, \nu_z\}$. Then by Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned}x^2 v_i &= q^{2d-4i} x^2 z^2 v_i = q^{2d-4i}\left(1 - q^2(q + q^{-1})\nu_y + q^4 \nu_y^2\right) v_i, \\ y^2 v_i &= q^{2d-4i} y^2 z^2 v_i = q^{2d-4i}\left(1 - q^{-2}(q + q^{-1})\nu_x + q^{-4}\nu_x^2\right) v_i, \\ \nu_z v_i &= q^{2d-4i} \nu_z z^2 v_i = q^{2d-4i}\left(q^{-1} z^2 - q^{-1} + q^{-2}\nu_x + q^2 \nu_y - q\nu_x \nu_y\right) v_i.\end{aligned}$$

The result follows by simplifying these equations using Theorem 8.7. $\square$

Theorem 8.9. *The $\mathcal{A}$-module V from Notation 8.3 is isomorphic to the $\mathcal{A}$-module $L(d)$ from Definition 7.2. An isomorphism is given by $v_i \mapsto \gamma_i u_i$, where $\gamma_0 = 1$ and $\gamma_{i+1}/\gamma_i = q^{-1}(1 - q^{2(i+1)})$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$.*

Proof. Let $\phi : V \to L(d)$ be the map defined by $v_i \mapsto \gamma_i u_i$. Since q is not a root of unity, ϕ is a vector space isomorphism. To show that ϕ is an $\mathcal{A}$-module isomorphism, it suffices to show that $\phi\nu_\eta = \nu_\eta\phi$ for all $\eta \in \{x, y, z\}$.

By Lemma 6.2 and Theorem 8.7,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi\nu_x v_i &= \phi v_{i+1} = \gamma_{i+1}u_{i+1} = \gamma_i q^{-1}(1 - q^{2(i+1)})u_{i+1},\\
\nu_x\phi v_i &= \gamma_i\nu_x u_i = \gamma_i q^{-1}(1 - q^{2(i+1)})u_{i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\phi\nu_x = \nu_x\phi$.

By Lemma 6.2 and Theorem 8.7,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi\nu_y v_i &= (q^{2i} - 1)(q^{2(i-d-1)} - 1)\phi v_{i-1} = \gamma_{i-1}(q^{2i} - 1)(q^{2(i-d-1)} - 1)u_{i-1},\\
\nu_y\phi v_i &= \gamma_i\nu_y v_i = \gamma_i q(1 - q^{2i-d-1})u_{i-1} = \gamma_{i-1}(q^{2i} - 1)(q^{2(i-d-1)} - 1)u_{i-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\phi\nu_y = \nu_y\phi$.

By Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 8.8, $\phi\nu_z v_i$ is a linear combination of u_{i-1}, u_i, u_{i+1} with the following coefficients:

term	coefficient
u_{i-1}	$\gamma_{i-1}(q^{-2i} - 1)(q^{-2(i-d-1)} - 1)$
u_i	$\gamma_i(q^{2d-2i+1} + q^{-2i-1} - q^{2d-4i+1} - q^{2d-4i-1})$
u_{i+1}	$\gamma_{i+1}q^{2d-4i-2}$

and $\nu_z\phi v_i$ is a linear combination of u_{i-1}, u_i, u_{i+1} with the following coefficients:

term	coefficient
u_{i-1}	$\gamma_i q^{2d-4i+3}(1 - q^{2(i-d-1)})$
u_i	$\gamma_i(q^{2d-2i+1} + q^{-2i-1} - q^{2d-4i+1} - q^{2d-4i-1})$
u_{i+1}	$\gamma_i q^{2d-4i-3}(1 - q^{2(i+1)})$

Using $\gamma_{i+1}/\gamma_i = q^{-1}(1 - q^{2(i+1)})$, we see that these coefficients are equal. Thus $\phi\nu_z = \nu_z\phi$. The result follows. □

Corollary 8.10. *For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, up to isomorphism there exists a unique irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module of dimension $d + 1$.*

Theorem 8.11. *Let V denote an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$-module of dimension $d + 1$.*

(i) *If* $\operatorname{char} \mathbb{K} = 2$, *then V extends to a unique irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module.*

(ii) *If* char $\mathbb{K} \neq 2$, *then* V *extends to two non-isomorphic irreducible* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$*-modules.*

Proof. By Corollary 8.10, V is isomorphic to the $\mathcal{A}$-module $L(d)$ from Definition 7.2. Thus the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules $L(d,1)$ and $L(d,-1)$ from Lemma 6.1 extend V. Let W be an irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module that extends V. Then W has dimension $d+1$, so by Lemma 6.1, W is isomorphic to $L(d,1)$ or $L(d,-1)$. Therefore, up to isomorphism, $L(d,1)$ and $L(d,-1)$ are the unique irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules that extend V. Observe that $L(d,1)$ and $L(d,-1)$ are isomorphic as $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules if and only if char $\mathbb{K} = 2$, so the result follows. $\square$

9 Appendix

In this appendix, we give the explicit reduction rules used in the proof of Lemma 5.12. For each forbidden $\mathcal{A}'$-word w of length 2, the reduction rule for w is an equation that expresses w as a linear combination of allowed $\mathcal{A}'$-words of length 0, 1, 2. For each forbidden $\mathcal{A}'$-word g_1g_2, the linear combination given by the reduction rule contains exactly one allowed $\mathcal{A}$-prime-word of length 2, which we denote by $\widetilde{g}_1\widetilde{g}_2$.

Forbidden word g_1g_2	Reduction rule for g_1g_2	$\widetilde{g}_1\widetilde{g}_2$
ν_x^2	$\nu_x^2 = q^4y^2z^2 + q^2(q+q^{-1})\nu_x - q^4$	y^2z^2
$\nu_x\nu_y$	$\nu_x\nu_y = -q^{-1}\nu_z z^2 + q^{-2}z^2 + q^{-3}\nu_x + q\nu_y - q^{-2}$	$\nu_z z^2$
$\nu_x\nu_z$	$\nu_x\nu_z = q^2\nu_z\nu_x + (q^2-1)y^2 - (q^2-1)$	$\nu_z\nu_x$
$\nu_x x^2$	$\nu_x x^2 = x^2\nu_x - (q^2-q^{-2})\nu_y + (q^2-q^{-2})\nu_z$	$x^2\nu_x$
$\nu_x y^2$	$\nu_x y^2 = q^4y^2\nu_x$	$y^2\nu_x$
$\nu_y\nu_x$	$\nu_y\nu_x = -q\nu_z z^2 + q^2z^2 + q^{-1}\nu_x + q^3\nu_y - q^2$	$\nu_z z^2$
ν_y^2	$\nu_y^2 = q^{-4}x^2z^2 + q^{-2}(q+q^{-1})\nu_y - q^{-4}$	x^2z^2
$\nu_y\nu_z$	$\nu_y\nu_z = -q^{-1}x^2\nu_x + q^{-2}x^2 + q\nu_y + q^{-3}\nu_z - q^{-2}$	$x^2\nu_x$
$\nu_y x^2$	$\nu_y x^2 = q^{-4}x^2\nu_y$	$x^2\nu_y$
$\nu_y y^2$	$\nu_y y^2 = -q\nu_z\nu_x + q^{-1}y^2 + q^{-2}\nu_z + q^2\nu_x - q^{-1}$	$\nu_z\nu_x$
$\nu_z\nu_y$	$\nu_z\nu_y = -qx^2\nu_x + q^2x^2 + q^3\nu_y + q^{-1}\nu_z - q^2$	$x^2\nu_x$
ν_z^2	$\nu_z^2 = q^4x^2y^2 + q^2(q+q^{-1})\nu_z - q^4$	x^2y^2
$\nu_z x^2$	$\nu_z x^2 = q^4x^2\nu_z$	$x^2\nu_z$
$y^2\nu_y$	$y^2\nu_y = -q\nu_z\nu_x + q^{-1}y^2 + q^2\nu_z + q^{-2}\nu_x - q^{-1}$	$\nu_z\nu_x$
$y^2\nu_z$	$y^2\nu_z = q^4\nu_z y^2$	$\nu_z y^2$
y^2x^2	$y^2x^2 = q^8x^2y^2 + (q^6-q^2)(q+q^{-1})\nu_z + (1-q^8)$	x^2y^2
$z^2\nu_x$	$z^2\nu_x = q^4\nu_x z^2$	$\nu_x z^2$
$z^2\nu_y$	$z^2\nu_y = q^{-4}\nu_y z^2$	$\nu_y z^2$
$z^2\nu_z$	$z^2\nu_z = \nu_z z^2 + (q^2-q^{-2})\nu_x - (q^2-q^{-2})\nu_y$	$\nu_z z^2$
z^2x^2	$z^2x^2 = q^{-8}x^2z^2 + (q^{-6}-q^{-2})(q+q^{-1})\nu_y + (1-q^{-8})$	x^2z^2
z^2y^2	$z^2y^2 = q^8y^2z^2 + (q^6-q^2)(q+q^{-1})\nu_x + (1-q^8)$	y^2z^2

10 Acknowledgements

This paper was written while the author was a graduate student at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. The author would like to thank her advisor, Paul Terwilliger, for offering many valuable ideas and suggestions.

References

[1] H. Alnajjar. Leonard pairs associated with the equitable generators of the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 59:1127–1142, 2011.

[2] S. Bockting-Conrad. Tridiagonal pairs of q-Racah type , the double lowering operator ψ , and the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 445:256–279, 2014.

[3] S. Bockting-Conrad and P. Terwilliger. The algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ in disguise. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 459:548–585, 2013.

[4] D. Funk-Neubauer. Bidiagonal pairs, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, and the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 12(5), 2013.

[5] S. Gao, Y. Wang, and B. Hou. The classification of Leonard triples of Racah type. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 439:1834–1861, 2013.

[6] H. Huang. The classification of Leonard triples of QRacah type. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 436:1442–1472, 2012.

[7] T. Ito, H. Rosengren, and P. Terwilliger. Evaluation modules for the q-tetradedron algebra. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 451:107–168, 2014.

[8] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. The q-tetrahedron algebra and its finite-dimensional irreducible modules. *Comm. Algebra*, 35:3415–3439, 2007.

[9] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, and C. Weng. The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and its equitable presentation. *J. Algebra*, 298:284–301, 2006.

[10] J. C. Jantzen. *Lectures on Quantum Groups*, volume 6 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics.* Amer. Math. Soc., 1996.

[11] D. A. Jordan. Finite-dimensional simple Poisson modules. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 13:79–101, 2010.

[12] P. Terwilliger. The universal Askey-Wilson algebra and the equitable presentation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. *SIGMA*, 7, 2011.

[13] P. Terwilliger. Billiard Arrays and finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 461:211–270, 2014.

[14] C. Worawannotai. Dual polar graphs, the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, and the Leonard systems of dual q-Krawtchouk type. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 438:443–497, 2013.